منابع مربوط به مقاله ی «چرخه ی بنیادین ساخت مفهوم: زیربنای چارچوب های نظری گوناگون»

خوانندگان محترم،

در شماره ی گذشته ی مجله ی رشد آموزش ریاضی (شماره ی ۸۸ ـ تابستان سال ۸۶) ، ترجمه ی مقاله ی «چرخه ی بنیادین ساخت مفهوم: زیر بنای چارچوب های نظری گوناگون» ، نوشته ی جان پگ و دیوید تال ، در صفحات ۴ تا ۱۵ ، به چاپ رسید . منابع این مقاله از قلم افتاده بود که ضمن پوزش از خوانندگان ، آن ها را در این شماره می آوریم:

(Ed.) Proceedings of the 25th conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education 3, 65-72. Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Greeno, James (1983). Conceptual Entities. In Dedre Gentner, Albert L. Stevens (Eds.), *Mental Models*. (pp. 227-252). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Gruber, H. e. & Voneche, J. J. (1977). *The Essential Piaget* New York: Basic Books, Inc., Publishers.

Halford, G.S. (1993). *Children's understanding: The development of mental models*. Hillsdale: NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Lakoff, G. & Nunez, R. (2000). Where Mathematics Comes From. New York: Basic Books.

Lave, J. & Wenger E. (1991). Situated Learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge: CUP.

Pegg, J. (1992). Assessing students' understanding at the primary and secondary level in the mathematical sciences. In J. Izard and M. Stephens (Eds.), *Reshaping Assessment Practice: Assessment in the Mathematical Sciences under Challenge* (pp. 368-385). Melbourne: Australian Council of Educational Research.

Pegg, J. (2003). Assessment in Mathematics: a developmental approach. In J.M. Royer (Ed.) *Advances in Cognition and Instruction* (pp. 227-259). New York: Information Age Publishing Inc.

Pegg, J. & Davey, G. (1998). A synthesis of Two Models: Interpreting Student Understanding in Geometry. In R. Lehrer & C. Chazan, (Eds.), *Designing Learning Environments for Developing Understanding of Geometry and Spac.* (pp. 109-135). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Piaget, J. & Garcia, R. (1983). *Psychogenèse et Histoire des Sciences*. Paris: Flammarion.

Sfard, A. (1991). On the Dual Nature of Mathematical Conceptions: Reflections on processes and objects as different sides of the same coin. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 22, 1-36.

Poynter, A. (2004). Effect as a pivot between actions and symbols: the case of vector. Unpublished PhD thesis. University of Warwick. Tall, D.O., Gray, E., M. Ali, M., Crowley, L., DeMarois. P. McGowen, M., Pitta. D., Pinto, M., Thomas, M., & Yusof. Y. (2000). Symbols and the Bifurcation between Procedural and Conceptual Thinking, *The Canadian Journal of Science*, *Mathematics and Technology Education*, 1, 80-104. Tall, D. O. (2004). Thinking through three worlds of mathematics. Proceedings of the 28th Conference of PME, Bergen, Norway, 158-

Van Hiele, P.M. (1986). Structure and Insight: a theory of mathematics education. New York: Academic Press.

Biggs, J. & Collis, K. (1982). Evaluating the Quality of Learning: the SOLO Taxonomy. New York: Academic Press.

Biggs, J. & Collis, K. (1991). Multimodal learning and the quality of intelligent behaviour. In H. Rowe (Ed.), *Intelligence*,

Reconceptualization and Measurement (pp. 57-76). New Jersey: Laurence Erlbaum Assoc.

Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Towards a Theory of Instruction*, New York: Norton

Case, R. (1992). The Mind's Staircase: Exploring the conceptual underpinnings of children's thought and knowledge. Hillsdale, NJ: Fribaum

Crick. F. (1994). *The Astonishing Hypothesis*, London: Simon & Schuster.

Czarnocha. B., Dubinsky, E., Prabhu. V., & Vidakovic. D. (1999). One theoretical perspective in undergraduate mathematics education research. *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol 1 pp. 95-110).

Davis, R. B. (1984). Learning Mathematics: The cognitive science approach to mathematics education. Norwood. NJ: Ablex. Dienes, Z.P. (1960). Building Up Mathematics. London:

Dubinsky, Ed (1991). Reflective Abstraction in Advanced Mathematical Thinking. In David O. Tall (Ed.) *Advanced Mathematical Thinking* (pp. 95-123). Kluwer: Dordrecht. Edelman, G.M. & Tononi, G. (2000). *Consciousness: How Matter Becomes Imagination*. New York: Basic Books.

Fischer, K.W., & Knight, C.C. (1990). Cognitive development in real children: Levels and variations. In B. Presseisen (Ed.), *Learning and thinking styles: Classroom interaction*. Washington: National Education Association.

Gray, E. M., Pitta, D., Pinto, M. M. F. & Tall, D. O. (1999). Knowledge construction and diverging thinking in elementary & advanced mathematics. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*. *38*, 1-3, 111-133.

Gray, E. M. & Tall, D. O. (1991). Duality, Ambiguity and Flexibility in Successful Mathematical Thinking. In Fulvia Furinghetti, (Ed.), *Proceedings of PME XIII* (vol. 2, pp. 72-79). Assisi, Italy.

Gray, E. M. & Tall, D. O. (1994). Duality, ambiguity and flexibility: a proceptual view of simple arithmetic. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 26, 2, 115-141.

Gray, E. M. & Tall, D. O. (2001). Relationships between embodied objects and symbolic procepts: an explanatory theory of success and failure in mathematics. In Marja van den Heuvel-Panhuizen

